

## **REMARKS**

The Office Action of August 14, 2006 has been received and carefully noted. The following remarks are submitted as a full and complete response thereto.

The office Action dated August 14, 2006 was a restriction requirement, requiring election between one of two inventions, which the Office Action indicated as being recited in the application. The two inventions are:

Invention I, recited in claims 4-6, drawn to a motorized roller; and


Invention II, recited in claims 7-8, drawn to a method of manufacturing a motorized roller.

Applicants respectfully elect to prosecute the Invention I, recited in claims 4-6, directed to a motorized roller. Applicants respectfully request, however, reconsideration of the restriction requirement, and request examination of all of claims 4-8. Timely consideration on the merits respectfully requested. Applicants reserve the right to file a divisional patent application on the non-elected subject matter.

In the event this paper is not being timely filed, the applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension together with any additional

fees may be charged to Counsel's Deposit Account 50-2222.

Respectfully submitted,



Douglas H. Goldhush  
Attorney for applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 33,125

**Customer Number 32294**  
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP  
14<sup>TH</sup> Floor  
8000 Towers Crescent Drive  
Tysons Corner, Virginia 22182-2700  
Telephone: 703-720-7800  
Fax: 703-720-7802

DHG:kh